PKM and PKR Expression During Hematopoiesis and Erythropoiesis

Erin Tsai, MS¹, Leonardo Rivadeneyra, PhD¹, Saliha Yilmaz, PhD¹, Lenny Dang¹, Megan Wind-Rotolo, PhD¹


¹Agios Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA

OBJECTIVE


To understand relative expression and potential roles of PK isoforms during normal hematopoiesis and erythropoiesis

BACKGROUND

- Pyruvate kinase (PK) is a key enzyme in the glycolytic pathway and thus essential for cell metabolism
- PK is needed to produce adenosine triphosphate (ATP), which is essential for meeting the energy demands of erythrocytes¹
- 4 tissue-specific PK isoforms are encoded by 2 genes (Figure 1)²
- PKLR encodes the PKL and PKR isoforms through tissue-specific promoters
- **PKM** encodes the PKM1 and PKM2 isoforms through alternative splicing²
- mRNA expression of PK-associated genes varies throughout the stages of normal hematopoiesis and erythropoiesis³



- A lack of PK dysregulates hematopoiesis and erythropoiesis and can lead to such diseases as thalassemia, myelodysplastic syndrome-associated anemia, sickle cell disease, and PK deficiency⁷⁻¹⁰
- To better understand how to treat these diseases, it is helpful to understand PKM and PKR expression during normal hematopoiesis and erythropoiesis
- In this study, transcriptomes from hematopoietic and erythroid progenitors were evaluated, and mRNA levels of PKL, PKR, PKM1, and PKM2 were measured at different stages of hematopoiesis and erythropoiesis (Figure 2)



METHODS

- Two RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) data sets were obtained from public functional genomics data repositories
- Published method for generating ex vivo data set PRJEB19300/E-MTAB-5456¹¹:
 - Early hematopoietic progenitors (CD34⁺ cells depleted for those expressing lineage commitment markers [Lin-]) were isolated from umbilical cord blood (obtained from healthy donors)
- -Subsets were isolated, evaluated by flow cytometry, and verified by staining (**Table 1**)
- 100 cells were directly sorted into lysis buffer before further RNA-seq assay processing
- 4 replicate transcriptomes were run per cell type

Table 1. Human Hematopoiesis Ex Vivo Data Set¹¹

Cell Type	Cell Surface Marker Expression								
	CD34	CD90	CD38	CD123	CD45RA	CD10			
HSC	+	+	_	_	_	_			
MPP	+	_	_	_	_	_			
СМР	+	_	+	+	_	_			
MEP	+	_	+	_	_	_			

- Published method for generating in vitro data set PRJNA475757/GSE115678¹²:
- CD34⁺ erythroblast populations were differentiated from healthy adult human donors using a 3-phase erythroid differentiation protocol¹³
 - Produced in 3 or 4 replicates using cultured cells from 2 or 3 healthy adult human donors
- Subpopulations enriched for 8 different stages of maturation were isolated using gated flow cytometry-activated cell sorting (FACS; Table 2)
- Erythroid surface markers used: CD71, CD235a, CD49d, and Band 3 (encoded by the *SLC4A1* gene)
- Each enriched subpopulation was processed using RNA-seq¹⁴ 28 paired-end RNA-seq libraries were produced and sequenced

Table 2. Human Erythropoiesis In Vitro Data Set¹²

Cell Type	Cell Surface Marker Expression								
	CD71	CD235a	CD49d	BAND3					
СМР	Low	Low	None	None					
CFU-E	High	Low	None	None					
ProE1	High	Medium	None	None					
ProE2	High	High	None	None					
BasoE	None	None	High	Low					
PolyC	None	None	High	Medium					
OrthoE	None	None	Medium	High					
Retic	None	None	Low	High					

Processing of raw sequencing data

- Raw sequencing data from 2 public repositories were processed by FastQC and Trimmomatic for quality control and adapter trimming^{15,16}
- The processed data were mapped to the transcriptome using Spliced Transcripts Alignment to a Reference (STAR)¹⁷
- Transcript quantification values were then calculated using RNA-Seq by Expectation-Maximization (RSEM)¹⁸
- RSEM-normalized data were pre-processed to provide a transcripts-permillion (TPM) matrix
- PKL, PKR, PKM1, and PKM2 mRNA expression data were visualized using RStudio¹⁹

RESULTS

- Early hematopoietic data set
- PKR, PKL, and PKM1 mRNA expression was low-to-undetectable in all cell types (Table 3)
- PKM2 mRNA was present in early hematopoiesis and was expressed in LT-HSC, MPP, CMP, and MEP cell types (Figure 3A)
- Erythroid progenitors data set

(Figure 3B)


- PKM1 and PKL mRNA expression was low-to-undetectable in all cell types (**Table 3**)
- PKM2 and PKR mRNAs were both expressed in early erythropoiesis
- PKM2 mRNA was dominant in CMP and CFU-E cell types (Figure 3B) - PKR mRNA was dominant in ProE1, ProE2, and BasoE cell types
- Both *PKM2* and *PKR* mRNA expression decreased upon maturation (Figure 3B)

Table 3. Expression of PKLR and PKM Genes in Hematopoiesis and Erythropoiesis^a

				Early	Hemato	ooietic [Data Set					
	PKR			PKL		PKM1			PKM2			
	min	mean	max	min	mean	max	min	mean	max	min	mean	max
LT-HSC	0	0.02	0.03	0	0	0	0	0.18	0.71	37.31	63.39	120.3
MPP	0	0.43	1.69	0	0.01	0.02	0	0.37	1.47	0	47.38	78.52
СМР	0	0.01	0.04	0	0	0	0	0.09	0.21	67.31	86.70	113.3
MEP	0	0.09	0.26	0	0.01	0.04	0	0.79	2.05	45.47	64.03	101.3
				Erythre	oid Prog	enitors	Data Se	t				
СМР	0.15	3.36	7.14	0	0.10	0.29	0.8	1.02	1.19	76.3	291.36	488.9
CFU-E	11.94	42.76	62.62	0	0.86	2.58	0	0.23	0.38	38.46	65.34	86.83
ProE1	52.47	72.75	84.36	0	0.84	1.73	0	0	0	19.71	22.05	25.54
ProE2	65.79	75.51	81.80	0	1.56	3.81	0	0	0	3.96	4.84	6.33
BasoE	5.44	14.11	23.45	0	0.28	0.84	0	0	0	0	0.07	0.26
PolyC	0.21	1.16	2.13	0	0.03	0.11	0	0	0	0	0.07	0.18
OrthoE	0	0.09	0.20	0	0.02	0.03	0	0	0	0.13	0.26	0.39
OrthoE and Retic	0	0.02	0.08	0	0.01	0.02	0	0	0	0.11	0.27	0.47

hematopoietic stem cells; MEP, megakaryocyte erythroid progenitors; MPP, multipotent progenitors; OrthoE, orthochromatic erythroblasts; PolyC, polychromatic erythroblasts; ProE, proerythroblasts; Retic, reticulocytes.

Figure 3. *PKM2* and *PKR* Expression During Hematopoiesis and Erythropoiesis



muscle-type pyruvate kinase 2; PKR, red blood cell-type pyruvate kinase; PolyC, polychromatic erythroblasts; ProE, proerythroblasts; Retic, reticulocytes.

CONCLUSIONS

- PKM1 and PKL transcripts were present at low-to-undetectable levels in both hematopoietic and erythroid progenitors
- PKM2 transcripts were present at early stages of hematopoiesis; PKR transcripts were present at low-to-undetectable levels
- Importantly, PKM2 and PKR transcripts were both expressed in early erythropoiesis and then decreased upon maturation
- These data describe levels of mRNA expression; protein levels were not assessed
- Similar studies of transcriptomes derived from patients with diseases that feature dysregulated hematopoiesis and ineffective erythropoiesis may inform the future design of effective PK-targeted pharmacotherapeutic approaches

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Writing and editorial support was provided by Symbiotix, LLC, funded by Agios Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

DISCLOSURES

All authors are employees and equity holders in Agios Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

REFERENCES

- Grace RF. et al. *Blood*. 2020:136(11):1241-1249.
- Dayton TL, et al. *EMBO Rep.* 2016;17(12):1721-1730. Wang YH, et al. Cell. 2014;158(6):1309-1323.
- Alguraishi M. et al. Free Radic Biol Med. 2019:143:176-192 Homo sapiens pyruvate kinase L/R (PKLR). Genbank
- Accession No. NM 000298, Updated May 17, 2023, Accessed May 19, 2023. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/5313. Homo sapiens pyruvate kinase M1/2 (PKM). GenBank
 - Accession No. NM 002654. Updated May 9, 2023. Accessed May 19, 2023. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/5315. Muncie HL Jr, et al. Am Fam Physician. 2009;80(4):339-344
- 8. Haferlach T. *Pathobiology*. 2019;86(1):24-29.

Hoss SE, et al. *Blood*. 2020;136(Supplement 1):14-15.

- 10. Al-Samkari H, et al. *Haematologica*. 2020;105(9):2229-2239.
- 11. Karamitros D. et al. *Nat Immunol*. 2018:19:85-97. 12. Ludwig LS, et al. Cell Rep. 2019;27(11):3228-3240.e7.
- 13. Hu J, et al. *Blood*. 2013;121(16):3246-3253. 14. Picelli S. et al. *Nat Protoc.* 2014:9(1):171-181.
- Babraham Bioinformatics website. www.bioinformatics babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/. Accessed April 7, 2023 16. Usadel Lab website. www.usadellab.org/cms/?page=
- trimmomatic. Accessed April 7, 2023 17. Dobin A, et al. *Bioinformatics*. 2013;29(1):15-21.
- 18. Li B, Dewey CN. BMC Bioinformatics. 2011;12:323.
- 19. Loraine AE, et al. Methods Mol Biol. 2015;1284:481-501.